Midwives' Association of Washington State
INDICATIONS FOR DISCUSSION, CONSULTATION, AND TRANSFER OF CARE IN A HOME OR BIRTH CENTER MIDWIFERY PRACTICE

1. INTRODUCTION:

Professional members of the Midwives' Association of Washington State (MAWS) include Licensed Midwives (LMs) and Certified Nurse Midwives (CNMs). In the home or birth center setting LMs and CNMs (herein referred to as 'midwives') work interdependently with one another and with other health care practitioners to promote the optimal health and safety of low-risk clients and babies during the normal childbearing cycle. Midwives engage in an ongoing risk screening process that begins at the initial visit and continues through the completion of care. In providing care, midwives take into account their clinical judgment and expertise, a client's own values and informed choice, relevant state laws and regulations, the standards for practice and core competencies for basic midwifery care provided by their professional organizations, relevant midwifery and medical literature, the settings in which they practice, the collaborative relationships they have with other healthcare practitioners and area hospitals, and their philosophy of care.

During pregnancy, labor, or postpartum, risk factors or complications can develop. This document provides a list of conditions that a midwife may encounter in practice for which discussion, consultation, or transfer of care is indicated. The list is representative but not exhaustive. Other circumstances may arise where the midwife believes discussion, consultation, or transfer of care to be necessary.

Professional members of the Midwives' Association of Washington State are advised to discuss, consult, and/or transfer care of their clients according to this document and in accordance with the MAWS document Position Statement: Shared Decision-Making. MAWS recognizes that there are variations in practice specialty and professional members may hold different licenses or qualifications which hold them to a different standard of care than those outlined in this document. (These practitioners may include but are not limited to CNMs, ARNPs, and NDs). In addition, new clinical procedures may be undertaken in accordance with the MAWS document Mechanism for Introducing Expanded Clinical Procedures into Midwifery Practice. MAWS members should discuss the scope and limitations of midwifery care[1] with clients and refer to these documents as necessary.

This document should be used as a screening tool to distinguish between low-risk and higher-risk clients. Its purpose is to enhance safety and promote midwives' accountability to their clients, to one another, to other health care practitioners, and to the general public. MAWS reviews this document periodically and revises it as necessary in order to reflect the most current evidence available and to insure that the parameters identified promote the safety of mother and newborn without unduly restricting midwifery practice.

[1] Licensed midwifery, as defined in RCW 18.50, is an autonomous profession. When there are significant deviations from normal during the pregnancy, labor, or postpartum period, licensed midwives are required by law in Washington State (RCW 18.50.010) to consult with a physician regarding the client's care.

2. DEFINITIONS:

2.1 DISCUSSION WITH ANOTHER MIDWIFE, AN ARNP, OR A PHYSICIAN

A discussion refers to a situation in which the midwife seeks information from a colleague about a clinical situation, presenting a management plan for feedback.

2.1.1 It is the midwife's responsibility to initiate a discussion with and provide accurate and complete clinical information to another midwife, a nurse practitioner, or a physician in order to plan care appropriately. This discussion can take place between midwives in the same practice.

2.1.2 Discussion should occur in a timely manner soon after the clinical situation is discovered.

2.1.3 Discussion may occur in person, by phone, fax, e-mail.

2.1.4 Discussion may include review of relevant patient records.

2.1.5 Discussion may include request for prescriptive medication based on signs or symptoms and/or laboratory results.

2.1.6 Discussion should be documented by the midwife in the medical record. Documentation of discussion should refer only to practitioner type without specifying the name of the practitioner contacted. Documentation should also include the midwife's management plan.

2.1.7 Discussion need not occur if the midwife has previously encountered a particular situation, discussed it with a colleague, developed a management plan, and is currently managing the same clinical presentation. In this case, documentation of the management plan and discussion with the client of the management plan is sufficient.

2.2 CONSULTATION WITH A PHYSICIAN

A consultation refers to a situation in which the midwife, using professional knowledge of the client and in accordance with this document, or by client request, seeks the opinion of a physician competent to give advice in the relevant field[2]. The consultant will either conduct an in-person assessment of the client or will evaluate the client's records in order to address the problem that led to the consultation[3].

---

[2] A MAWS member who has additional credentials (i.e.: CNM, ND) that allow for a broader scope of practice need not discuss conditions that are within their scope of practice.

[3] During such collaborative care the consultant may be involved in, and responsible for, a discrete area of the client's care, with the midwife maintaining overall responsibility within their scope of practice. It is the midwife's responsibility to maintain explicitly

2.2.1 It is the midwife's responsibility to initiate a consultation and to communicate clearly to the consultant that the midwife is seeking a consultation.

2.2.2 A consultation can involve the physician providing advice and information, and/or providing care to the client/newborn, and/or prescribing treatment for the client or newborn.

2.2.3 In the case of an in-person consultation, the midwife should expect that the consultant will promptly communicate findings and recommendations to the client and the referring midwife after the consultation has taken place.

2.2.4 Where urgency, distance, or climatic conditions do not allow an in-person consultation with a physician when it would otherwise be appropriate, the midwife should seek advice from a physician by phone or other similar means. The midwife should document this request for advice in the medical record and discuss the consultant's advice with the client.

2.2.5 It is the midwife's responsibility to provide all relevant medical records to the consultant, including a written summary of the client's history and presenting problem, as appropriate.

2.2.6 Consultation should be fully documented by the midwife in the medical record, including the consultant's name, date of referral, and the consultant's findings, opinions, and recommendations. The midwife should then discuss the consultant's recommendations with the client.

2.2.7 After consultation with a physician, care of the client and responsibility for decision-making, with the informed consent of the client, either continues with the midwife, is shared collaboratively by the midwife and the consultant, or transfers completely to the consultant. Transfer or sharing of care should occur only after dialogue and agreement among the client, the midwife, and the consultant.

2.3 TRANSFER TO A PHYSICIAN OR OTHER QUALIFIED HOSPITAL-BASED PROVIDER

When care is transferred permanently or temporarily from the midwife to a qualified hospital based provider, the receiving practitioner assumes full responsibility for subsequent decision-making, together with the client. For guidance about intrapartum transfers, see also the MAWS document Planned Out-of-Hospital Birth Transport Guideline.

---

clear communication between all parties regarding which health professional has primary responsibility for which aspects of the client's care. In addition to any verbal dialogue regarding client care, the dialogue and plan of care should be documented in the client's chart.

3.1 PRE-EXISTING CONDITIONS AND INITIAL HISTORY

Discussion:

- Family history of significant genetic disorders, hereditary disease, or congenital anomalies
- History of pre-term birth (<36 weeks)
- History of IUGR
- History of severe postpartum hemorrhage
- History of severe pre-eclampsia or HELLP[i]
- History of gestational diabetes requiring oral hypoglycemic or insulin[ii]
- No prenatal care prior to third trimester[iii]
- BMI > 35
- History of lap band, gastroplasty or other bariatric (weight loss) surgery
- Previous unexplained neonatal mortality or stillbirth

Consultation:

- Absent prenatal care at term[iv]
- History of seizure disorder in adulthood
- History of HELLP[v]
- History of uterine surgery, including myomectomy
- One prior cesarean birth with low transverse incision
- Significant history of or current cardiovascular, renal, hepatic, neurological or severe gastrointestinal disorder or disease[vi]
- Significant history of or current endocrine disorder (excluding controlled mild hypothyroidism)
- Pulmonary disease/active tuberculosis/severe asthma[vii]
- Collagen vascular diseases
- Significant hematological disorders
- Current or recent diagnosis of cancer requiring chemotherapy
- History of cervical cerclage
- History of 3 consecutive spontaneous abortions (excluding clients who present to care with viable pregnancy at gestation >14wks and beyond previous miscarriage)
- Significant uterine anomalies
- Essential hypertension[viii]
- History of eclampsia
- History of postpartum hemorrhage requiring transfusion
- Current severe psychiatric illness
- Current seizure disorder

Transfer:

- Any serious medical condition associated with increased risk status for client or fetus, for example: cardiac disease, renal disease with failure, insulin dependent diabetes mellitus,

uncontrolled asthma, or HIV infection
- Isoimmunization with an antibody known to cause hemolytic disease of the newborn[ix]
- Prior cesarean with incision other than low transverse (e.g. classical)[x]
- Two or more prior cesareans with low transverse incision

3.2 ANTEPARTUM CONDITIONS

Discussion:
- Urinary tract infection unresponsive to treatment
- Significant abnormal ultrasound finding
- Significant abnormal laboratory finding
- Unresolved size/dates discrepancies
- 42 completed weeks with reassuring fetal surveillance including AFI and BPP with NST

Consultation:
- Reportable sexually transmitted infection
- Significant abnormal Pap
- Significant abnormal breast lump
- Pyelonephritis
- Thrombosis
- Fetal demise after 14 weeks gestation
- Anemia unresponsive to treatment
- Primary herpes infection
- Significant vaginal bleeding
- Hemoglobinopathies
- Platelets <105,000/μL
- Persistent abnormal fetal heart rate or rhythm
- Non-reassuring fetal surveillance
- Significant placental abnormalities
- Significant or unresolved polyhydramnios or oligohydramnios[xi]
- Presentation other than cephalic at 37 weeks
- Multiple gestation if co-managing prenatal care (transfer if not co-managing)
- Significant infection the treatment of which is beyond the midwife's scope of practice

Transfer:
- Ectopic pregnancy
- Molar pregnancy
- Premature pre-labor rupture of membranes (PPROM)
- Documented persistent/unresolved intrauterine growth restriction (IUGR)
- Multiple gestation if not co-managing prenatal care
- Eclampsia, HELLP, pre-eclampsia, or persistent hypertension[xii]

- Placenta previa at term[xiii]
- Isoimmunization with an antibody known to cause hemolytic disease of the newborn[xiv]
- Clinically significant placental abruption[xv]
- Deep vein thrombosis
- Cardiac or renal disease with failure
- Gestational diabetes requiring management with medication; consultation in lieu of transfer if co-managing metformin with physician[xvi]
- Known fetal anomaly or condition that requires physician management during or immediately after delivery
- 43 weeks completed gestation

3.3 INTRAPARTUM CONDITIONS

In certain intrapartum situations, the midwife may need to act immediately and transport may not be the most prudent course of action in that moment. It is expected that the midwife will use clinical judgment and expertise in such situations, access 9-1-1 and emergency services as appropriate, and transport as able.

Discussion:

- >8 hours of active labor pattern without significant change in cervix and/or station and/or position
- >3 hours of active pushing without significant change
- Prolonged rupture of membranes (>48 hours without active labor)[xvii]

Transfer:

- Active labor before 37 completed weeks[xviii]
- Undiagnosed non-cephalic presentation including breech, transverse lie, oblique lie, or compound presentation at onset of labor
- Undiagnosed multiple gestation
- Fever (>100.4 F) that persists >1 hour
- Findings indicative of chorioamnionitis including, but not limited to tachycardia, fetal tachycardia, temperature >100.4 F, uterine tenderness, purulent or malodorous amniotic fluid.
- Thick or particulate meconium [xix]
- Persistent non-reassuring fetal heart rate pattern
- Exhaustion unresponsive to rest/hydration
- Abnormal bleeding during labor
- Suspected placental abruption
- Suspected uterine rupture
- Hypertension (>140 systolic or 90 diastolic twice 4 hours apart)[xx]
- Suspected pre-eclampsia (hypertension and proteinuria)[xxi]
- Seizure

- ROM > 72 hours[4xxii]
- ROM > 18 hours with GBS status unknown and no prophylactic antibiotics, or
- GBS+ and no prophylactic antibiotics
- Prolapsed cord or cord presentation
- Significant allergic response
- Active genital herpes in vaginal, perineal or vulvar area in labor or after ROM
- Client's stated desire for transfer to hospital-based care

[4]While best available evidence supports this time frame; the midwife is expected to take into account their community standard of care as well as the relationships with and expectations of the receiving hospital-based providers.

3.4 POSTPARTUM CONDITIONS

Consultation:

- Urinary tract infection unresponsive to treatment
- Mastitis (including breast abscess) unresponsive to treatment
- Reportable sexually transmitted infections
- Retained products/unresolved subinvolution/prolonged or excessive lochia
- Hypertension presenting beyond 72 hours postpartum
- Significant abnormal Pap
- Significant postpartum depression

Transfer:

- Significant postpartum hemorrhage unresponsive to treatment, with or without sustained vital sign instability or shock
- Retained placenta (>1 hour or active bleeding and manual removal unsuccessful)
- Lacerations beyond midwife's ability to repair
- Unusual or unexplained significant pain or dyspnea
- Significant, enlarging hematoma
- Endometritis
- Seizure
- Anaphylaxis
- Persistent uterine prolapse or inversion
- Fever (>100.4 F) that persists > 1 hour within the first 72 hours postpartum
- Persistent hypertension in the first 72 hours postpartum (>140 systolic or 90 diastolic twice 4 hours apart)
- Postpartum psychosis

3.5 NEWBORN CONDITIONS

It is recommended that parents establish a relationship with a pediatric provider before the baby is born. It is strongly recommended that all parents be advised to establish care with a pediatric provider by 2 weeks of age. The following conditions warrant contact sooner.

Consultation:

- Low birth weight newborn (< 2500 gm = 5 lbs 8 oz)
- Loss of greater than 10% of birth weight
- Prolonged asymptomatic jaundice
- Persistent cardiac arrhythmias or murmurs
- Significant clinical evidence of prematurity
- Failure to thrive
- Hypoglycemia
- Significant or symptomatic jaundice beyond the first 24 hours
- Positive critical congenital heart disease screening (CCHD)

Transfer:

- Seizure
- Jaundice in the first 24 hours
- Persistent respiratory distress
- Persistent central cyanosis or pallor
- Persistent temperature instability
- Persistent hypoglycemia
- Significant bruising, petechiae or purpura
- Apgar score 6 or less at ten minutes of age
- Major congenital anomalies affecting well-being
- Birth injury requiring medical attention

---

[i] Platis, P. (1994). Risk factors for severe preeclampsia. Stone JL, Lockwood CJ, Berkowitz GS, Alvarez M, Lapinski R, Berkowttz RL. Obstet Gynecol 1994; 83:357–361. Journal of Nurse-Midwifery, 39(5), 336-337.

Aydin, S., Ersan, F., Ark, C., & Ayd?n, Ç A. (2014). Partial HELLP syndrome: Maternal, perinatal, subsequent pregnancy and long-term maternal outcomes. J Obstet Gynaecol Res Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology Research, 40(4), 932-940.

Delahaije, D. H., Kuijk, S. M., Dirksen, C. D., Sep, S. J., Peeters, L. L., Spaanderman, M. E., . . . Smits, L. J. (2010). Cost-effectiveness of recurrence risk guided care versus care as usual in women who suffered from early-onset preeclampsia including HELLP syndrome in their previous pregnancy (the PreCare study). BMC Pregnancy Childbirth BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth, 10(1), 60.

Pampus, M. G., Wolf, H., Mayruhu, G., Treffers, P. E., & Bleker, O. P. (2001). Long-Term Follow-up in Patients with a History of (H)Ellp Syndrome. Hypertens Pregnancy Hypertension in Pregnancy, 20(1), 15-23.

[ii] Glazer, Nicole L., Audrey F. Hendrickson, Gina D. Schellenbaum, and Beth A. Mueller. "Weight Change and the Risk of Gestational Diabetes in Obese Women." Epidemiology 15.6 (2004): 733-37. Web.

Avalos, G. E., L. A. Owens, and F. Dunne. "Applying Current Screening Tools for Gestational Diabetes Mellitus to a European Population: Is It Time for Change?" Diabetes Care 36.10 (2013): 3040-044. Web.

Schwartz, Naama, Zohar Nachum, and Manfred S. Green. "The Prevalence of Gestational Diabetes Mellitus Recurrence—effect of Ethnicity and Parity: A Metaanalysis." American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology 213.3 (2015): 310-17. Web.

[iii] Brown, S. S. (n.d.). Prenatal care: Reaching mothers, reaching infants.

Hanson, L., Vandevusse, L., Roberts, J., & Forristal, A. (2009). A Critical Appraisal of Guidelines for Antenatal Care: Components of Care and Priorities in Prenatal Education. Journal of Midwifery & Women's Health, 54(6), 458-468.

Maupin, J. R., Lyman, R., Fatsis, J., Prystowiski, E., Nguyen, A., Wright, C., . . . Miller, J. J. (2004). Characteristics of women who deliver with no prenatal care. J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med Journal of Maternal-Fetal and Neonatal Medicine, 16(1), 45-50.

[iv] Brown, S. S. (n.d.). Prenatal care: Reaching mothers, reaching infants.

Hanson, L., Vandevusse, L., Roberts, J., & Forristal, A. (2009). A Critical Appraisal of Guidelines for Antenatal Care: Components of Care and Priorities in Prenatal Education. Journal of Midwifery & Women's Health, 54(6), 458-468.

Maupin, J. R., Lyman, R., Fatsis, J., Prystowiski, E., Nguyen, A., Wright, C., . . . Miller, J. J. (2004). Characteristics of women who deliver with no prenatal care. J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med Journal of Maternal-Fetal and Neonatal Medicine, 16(1), 45-50.

[v] Platis, P. (1994). Risk factors for severe preeclampsia. Stone JL, Lockwood CJ, Berkowitz GS, Alvarez M, Lapinski R, Berkowttz RL. Obstet Gynecol 1994; 83:357–361. Journal of Nurse-Midwifery, 39(5), 336-337.

Aydin, S., Ersan, F., Ark, C., & Ayd?n, Ç A. (2014). Partial HELLP syndrome: Maternal, perinatal, subsequent pregnancy and long-term maternal outcomes. J Obstet Gynaecol Res Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology Research, 40(4), 932-940.

Delahaije, D. H., Kuijk, S. M., Dirksen, C. D., Sep, S. J., Peeters, L. L., Spaanderman, M. E., . . . Smits, L. J. (2010). Cost-effectiveness of recurrence risk guided care versus care as usual in women who suffered from early-onset preeclampsia including HELLP syndrome in their previous pregnancy (the PreCare study). BMC Pregnancy Childbirth BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth, 10(1), 60.

Pampus, M. G., Wolf, H., Mayruhu, G., Treffers, P. E., & Bleker, O. P. (2001). Long-Term Follow-up in Patients with a History of (H)Ellp Syndrome. Hypertens Pregnancy Hypertension in Pregnancy, 20(1), 15-23.

[vi] Siu, S., Sermer, M., & Colman, J. (2002). Prospective multicenter study of pregnancy outcomes in women with heart disease. *ACC Current Journal Review, 11*(1), 87.

Brooks, R. (2011). Pregnancy and heart disease: An introduction. Br J Midwifery British Journal of Midwifery, 19(12), 763-772.

Hou, S. (1999). Pregnancy in chronic renal insufficiency and end-stage renal disease. American Journal of Kidney Diseases, 33(2), 235-252.

Guntupalli, S. R., & Steingrub, J. (2005). Hepatic disease and pregnancy: An overview of diagnosis and management. Critical Care Medicine, 33(Supplement).

Front-matter. (2011). Neurological Disorders and Pregnancy, I-Iii.

Keller, J., Frederking, D., & Layer, P. (2008). The spectrum and treatment of gastrointestinal disorders during pregnancy. Nature Clinical Practice Gastroenterology & Hepatology Nat Clin Pract Gastroenterol Hepatol, 5(8), 430-443.

[vii] Goldrick, B. (1996). Tuberculosis: Old nemesis, new problems. American Journal of Infection Control, 24(4), 223-225.

Rey, E., & Boulet, L. (2007). Asthma in pregnancy. Bmj, 334(7593), 582-585.

Bhatia, P. (2000). Pregnancy and the lungs. Postgraduate Medical Journal, 76(901), 683-689.

Murphy, V. E. (2006). Asthma exacerbations during pregnancy: Incidence and association with adverse pregnancy outcomes. Thorax, 61(2), 169-176.

Frye, D., Clark, S. L., Piacenza, D., & Shay-Zapien, G. (2011). Pulmonary Complications in Pregnancy. The Journal of Perinatal & Neonatal Nursing, 25(3), 235-244.

[vii] Sullivan, J. M. (1979). The management of essential hypertension during pregnancy. Clin Cardiol Clinical Cardiology, 2(5), 368-374.

[ix] Moise, Kenneth J. "Management of Rhesus Alloimmunization in Pregnancy." Obstetrics & Gynecology 112.1 (2008): 164-76. Web.

Pirelli, Kevin J., Bradley C. Pietz, Susan T. Johnson, Holly L. Pinder, and Daniel B. Bellissimo. "Molecular Determination of RHD Zygosity:predicting Risk of Hemolytic Disease of the Fetus and Newborn Related to Anti-D." Prenatal Diagnosis Prenat. Diagn. 30.12-13 (2010): 1207-212. Web.

Hirose, Masaya, Keiko Nakanishi, Shouji Kaku, Hiroko Moro, Keiko Hodohara, Hirofumi Aotani, Koichi Takebayashi, and Yoichi Noda. "Fetal Hemolytic Disease Due to Anti-Rh17 Alloimmunization." Fetal Diagn Ther Fetal Diagnosis and Therapy 19.2 (2004): 182-86. Web.

---

[x] Shipp, T. (1999). Intrapartum uterine rupture and dehiscence in patients with prior lower uterine segment vertical and transverse incisions1. Obstetrics & Gynecology, 94(5), 735-740.

Chauhan, S. (2002). Pregnancy after classic cesarean delivery. Obstetrics & Gynecology, 100(5), 946-950.

[xi] Odibo, Imelda N., Trista M. Newville, Songthip T. Ounpraseuth, Mandi Dixon, Monica A. Lutgendorf, Lisa M. Foglia, and Everett F. Magann. "Idiopathic Polyhydramnios: Persistence across Gestation and Impact on Pregnancy Outcomes." European Journal of Obstetrics & Gynecology and Reproductive Biology 199 (2016): 175-78. Web.

Lallar, Meenakshi, Anam Ul Haq, and Rajesh Nandal. "Perinatal Outcome in Idiopathic Polyhydramnios." J Obstet Gynecol India The Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology of India 65.5 (2014): 310-14. Web.

Dilbaz, Berna, Esmen Ozturkoglu, Serdar Dilbaz, Nilgun Ozturk, A. Akin Sivaslioglu, and Ali Haberal. "Risk Factors and Perinatal Outcomes Associated with Umbilical Cord Prolapse." Arch Gynecol Obstet Archives of Gynecology and Obstetrics 274.2 (2006): 104-07. Web.

Karahanoglu, Ertugrul, Funda Akpinar, Erhan Demirdag, Neslihan Yerebasmaz, Tugba Ensari, Aysegul Akyol, Dilek Ulubas Isik, and Serdar Yalvac. "Obstetric Outcomes of Isolated Oligohydramnios during Early-term, Full-term and Late-term Periods and Determination of Optimal Timing of Delivery." J. Obstet. Gynaecol. Res. Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology Research (2016): n. pag. Web.

Naveiro-Fuentes, Mariña, Alberto Puertas Prieto, Rocío Sánchez Ruíz, María Paz Carrillo Badillo, Francisco Montoya Ventoso, and José Luis Gallo Vallejo. "Perinatal Outcomes with Isolated Oligohydramnios at Term Pregnancy." Journal of Perinatal Medicine 0.0 (2015): n. pag. Web.

Shrem, Guy, Sima S. Nagawkar, Mordechai Hallak, and Asnat Walfisch. "Isolated Oligohydramnios at Term as an Indication for Labor Induction: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis." Fetal Diagn Ther Fetal Diagnosis and Therapy (2016): n. pag. Web.

[xii] Abalos, E., Duley, L., & Steyn, D. W. (2014). Antihypertensive drug therapy for mild to moderate hypertension during pregnancy. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews 2014, Issue 2. Art. No.: CD002252. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD002252.pub3.

[xiii] Taga, Atsuko, Yukiyasu Sato, Chieko Sakae, Yumiko Satake, Ikuko Emoto, Shunsuke Maruyama, Hiroko Mise, and Tomoko Kim. "Planned Vaginal Delivery versus Planned Cesarean Delivery in Cases of Low-lying Placenta." The Journal of Maternal-Fetal & Neonatal Medicine (2016): 1-5. Web.

Nojiri, Takeshi, Toshiyuki Yoshizato, Ayako Sanui, Ryota Araki, Hirotsugu Obama, Kyoko Shirota, and Shingo Miyamoto. "Proposal of a New Parameter for Diagnosing a Low-lying Placenta in the Third Trimester: The Distance between the External Os and Placental Edge." Taiwanese Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology 54.4 (2015): 390-93. Web.

Kollmann, Martina, Jakob Gaulhofer, Uwe Lang, and Philipp Klaritsch. "Placenta Praevia: Incidence, Risk Factors and Outcome." The Journal of Maternal-Fetal & Neonatal Medicine 29.9 (2015): 1395-398. Web.

Wortman, Alison C., Diane M. Twickler, Donald D. Mcintire, and Jodi S. Dashe. "Bleeding Complications in Pregnancies with Low-lying Placenta." The Journal of Maternal-Fetal & Neonatal Medicine 29.9 (2015): 1367-371. Web.

[xiv] Moise, Kenneth J. "Management of Rhesus Alloimmunization in Pregnancy." Obstetrics & Gynecology 112.1 (2008): 164-76. Web.

Pirelli, Kevin J., Bradley C. Pietz, Susan T. Johnson, Holly L. Pinder, and Daniel B. Bellissimo. "Molecular Determination of RHD Zygosity:predicting Risk of Hemolytic Disease of the Fetus and Newborn Related to Anti-D." Prenatal Diagnosis Prenat. Diagn. 30.12-13 (2010): 1207-212. Web.

Hirose, Masaya, Keiko Nakanishi, Shouji Kaku, Hiroko Moro, Keiko Hodohara, Hirofumi Aotani, Koichi Takebayashi, and Yoichi Noda. "Fetal Hemolytic Disease Due to Anti-Rh17 Alloimmunization." Fetal Diagn Ther Fetal Diagnosis and Therapy 19.2 (2004): 182-86. Web.

[xv] Ananth, Cande V., Jessica A. Lavery, Anthony M. Vintzileos, Daniel W. Skupski, Michael Varner, George Saade, Joseph Biggio, Michelle A. Williams, Ronald J. Wapner, and Jason D. Wright. "Severe Placental Abruption: Clinical Definition and Associations with Maternal Complications." American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology 214.2 (2016): n. pag. Web.

[xvi] "Practice Bulletin No. 137." Obstetrics & Gynecology 122.2, PART 1 (2013): 406-16. Web.
Coustan, D. R. "Gestational Diabetes Mellitus." Clinical Chemistry 59.9 (2013): 1310-321. Web.

Imam, Ahmad A. "Can We Prescribe Oral Hypoglycemic Agents Safely for Treatment of Gestational Diabetes Mellitus (GDM)? Evidence-Based Medicine Review Article." Canadian Journal of Diabetes 36.5 (2012): n. pag. Web.

Dhulkotia, Jaya Saxena, Bolarinde Ola, Robert Fraser, and Tom Farrell. "Oral Hypoglycemic Agents vs Insulin in Management of Gestational Diabetes: A Systematic Review and Metaanalysis." American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology 203.5 (2010): n. pag. Web.

Su, D.f., and X.y. Wang. "Metformin vs Insulin in the Management of Gestational Diabetes: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis." Diabetes Research and Clinical Practice 104.3 (2014): 353-57. Web.

Kitwitee, Pimprapa, Supon Limwattananon, Chulaporn Limwattananon, Ornanong Waleekachonlert, Tananan Ratanachotpanich, Mattabhorn Phimphilai, Tuan V. Nguyen, and Chatlert Pongchaiyakul. "Metformin for the Treatment of Gestational Diabetes: An Updated Meta-analysis." Diabetes Research and Clinical Practice 109.3 (2015): 521-32. Web.

Mcgrath, Rachel T., Sarah J. Glastras, Samantha Hocking, and Gregory R. Fulcher. "Use of Metformin Earlier in Pregnancy Predicts Supplemental Insulin Therapy in Women with Gestational Diabetes." Diabetes Research and Clinical Practice 116 (2016): 96-99. Web.

[xvii] Dare MR, Middleton P, Crowther CA, et al. Planned early birth versus expectant management (waiting) for prelabour rupture of membranes at term (37 weeks or more). Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2006; :CD005302.

Hannah ME, Ohlsson A, Farine D, et al. Induction of labor compared with expectant management for prelabor rupture of the membranes at term. TERMPROM Study Group. N Engl J Med 1996; 334:1005.

Tran SH, Cheng YW, Kaimal AJ, Caughey AB. Length of rupture of membranes in the setting of premature rupture of membranes at term and infectious maternal morbidity. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2008; 198:700.e1.

Herbst A, Källén K. Time between membrane rupture and delivery and septicemia in term neonates. Obstet Gynecol 2007; 110:612.

Keirse MJ, Ottervanger HP, Smit W. Controversies: prelabor rupture of the membranes at term: the case for expectant management. J Perinat Med 1996; 24:563.

Seaward PG, Hannah ME, Myhr TL, et al. International Multicentre Term Prelabor Rupture of Membranes Study: evaluation of predictors of clinical chorioamnionitis and postpartum fever in patients with prelabor rupture of membranes at term. Am J Obstet Gynecol 1997; 177:1024.

[xviii] Shapiro-Mendoza, C. K., K. M. Tomashek, M. Kotelchuck, W. Barfield, A. Nannini, J. Weiss, and E. Declercq. "Effect of Late-Preterm Birth and Maternal Medical Conditions on Newborn Morbidity Risk." Pediatrics 121.2 (2008): n. pag. Web.

Engle, W. A., K. M. Tomashek, and C. Wallman. ""Late-Preterm" Infants: A Population at Risk." Pediatrics 120.6 (2007): 1390-401. Web.

[xix] Bhutani VK. Developing a systems approach to prevent meconium aspiration syndrome: lessons learned from multinational studies. *Journal of Perinatology*. 2008;28(3, supplement):S30–S35

Dargaville, P. A. (2006). The Epidemiology of Meconium Aspiration Syndrome: Incidence, Risk Factors, Therapies, and Outcome. *Pediatrics, 117*(5), 1712-1721. doi:10.1542/peds.2005-2215

Davis, R. O., Philips, J. B., Harris, B. A., Wilson, E. R., Huddleston, J. F., & Ramamurthy, R. S. (1985). Fatal Meconium Aspiration Syndrome Occurring Despite Airway Management Considered Appropriate. *Obstetric Anesthesia Digest, 5*(3), 108-109. doi:10.1097/00132582-198505030-00015

Fleischer A, Anyaegbunam A, Guidetti D, Randolph G, Merkatz IR *Obstet Gynecol. 1992 Feb; 79(2):185-90.)*

Goldsmith JP. Continuous positive airway pressure and conventional mechanical ventilation in the treatment of meconium aspiration syndrome. *Journal of Perinatology*. 2008;28(3):S49–S55)

Heijst, M., Roosmalen, G., & Keirse, M. J. (1995). Classifying Meconium-Stained Liquor: Is It Feasible? *Birth, 22*(4), 191-195. doi:10.1111/j.1523-536x.1995.tb00257.x

Hiersch, L., MD, Krispin, E., MD, Linder, N., MD, Aviram, A., MD, Gabbay-Benziv, R., MD, Yogev, Y., MD, & Ashwal, E., MD. (2016, May 31). Meconium-Stained Amniotic Fluid and Neonatal Morbidity in Low-Risk

Pregnancies at Term: The Effect of Gestational Age. *American Journal of Perinatology*. doi:http://dxdoi.org/10.1055/s-0036-1585056

Hiersch, L., Melamed, N., Rosen, H., Peled, Y., Wiznitzer, A., & Yogev, Y. (2013). New onset of meconium during labor versus primary meconium-stained amniotic fluid – is there a difference in pregnancy outcome? *The Journal of Maternal-Fetal & Neonatal Medicine, 27*(13), 1361-1367. doi:10.3109/14767058.2013.858320

Ierland, Y. V., Boer, M. D., & Beaufort, A. J. (2009). Meconium-stained amniotic fluid: Discharge vigorous newborns. *Archives of Disease in Childhood - Fetal and Neonatal Edition, 95*(1). doi:10.1136/adc.2008.150425

Locatelli A, Regalia AL, Patregnani C, Ratti M, Toso L, Ghidini A. Prognostic value of change in amniotic fluid color during labor. Fetal Diagn Ther. 2005;20:5-9

Maymon E, Chaim W, Furman B, Ghezzi F, Shoham Vardi I, Mazor M *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol. 1998 Oct; 80(2):169-73.)*

Mundhra, R. (2013). Fetal Outcome in Meconium Stained Deliveries. *Jcdr Journal Of Clinical And Diagnostic Research*. doi:10.7860/jcdr/2013/6509.3781

Priyadharshini.v, D. P. (2013). Meconium Stained Liquor and Its Fetal Outcome - Retrospective Study. *IOSR-JDMS IOSR Journal of Dental and Medical Sciences, 6*(2), 27-31. doi:10.9790/0853-0622731

Qian L, Liu C, Zhuang W, Guo Y, Yu J, Chen H, et al. Neonatal respiratory failure: a 12-month clinical epidemiologic study from 2004 to 2005 in China. Pediatrics. 2008;121:e1115-24
Review Meconium staining and the meconium aspiration syndrome. Unresolved issues. *Wiswell TE, Bent RC Pediatr Clin North Am. 1993 Oct; 40(5):955-81.*]

Ross MG. Meconium aspiration syndrome – more than intrapartum meconium. N Engl J Med. 2005;353:946-8

Rossi EM, Philipson EH, Williams TG, Kalhan SC *Am J Obstet Gynecol. 1989 Nov; 161(5):1106-10.*

UK NICE Guidelines- https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/cg190/chapter/1-Recommendations#presence-of-meconium

Vain NE, Szyld EG, Prudent LM, Wiswell TE, Aguilar AM, Vivas NI. Oropharyngeal and nasopharyngeal suctioning of meconium- stained neonates before delivery of their shoulders: multicentre, ran- domised controlled trial. Lancet. 2004;364:597–602. doi: 10.1016/ S0140-6736(04)16852-9.) (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25661412

[xx] Rigó, János, Bálint Alasztics, Anikó Árokszállási, Noémi Dobó, Mária Prosszer, József Gábor Joó, and Attila Molvarec. "PL59. The Impact of Classification of Hypertensive Disorders of Pregnancy Based on the ACOG 2013 and ISSHP 2014 Criteria." Pregnancy Hypertension: An International Journal of Women's Cardiovascular Health 5.3 (2015): 222-23. Web.

Lowe, S.a., M.a. Brown, G.a. Dekker, S. Gatt, C.k. Mclintock, L.p. Mcmahon, G. Mangos, M.p. Moore, P. Muller, M. Paech, and B. Walters. "Guidelines for the Management of Hypertensive Disorders of Pregnancy, 2008." Obstetric Anesthesia Digest 30.2 (2010): 78-79. Web.

Abalos, E., Duley, L., & Steyn, D. W. (2014). Antihypertensive drug therapy for mild to moderate hypertension during pregnancy. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews 2014, Issue 2. Art. No.: CD002252. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD002252.pub3.

[xxi] Lowe, S.a., M.a. Brown, G.a. Dekker, S. Gatt, C.k. Mclintock, L.p. Mcmahon, G. Mangos, M.p. Moore, P. Muller, M. Paech, and B. Walters. "Guidelines for the Management of Hypertensive Disorders of Pregnancy, 2008." Obstetric Anesthesia Digest 30.2 (2010): 78-79. Web.

Abalos, E., Duley, L., & Steyn, D. W. (2014). Antihypertensive drug therapy for mild to moderate hypertension during pregnancy. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews 2014, Issue 2. Art. No.: CD002252. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD002252.pub3.

[xxii] William E Scorza, MD UpToDate 2016

http://www.ontariomidwives.ca/images/uploads/guidelines/No13CPG_PROM_final.pdf

https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/cg70/evidence/full-guideline-241871149